

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLIC	CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
U8781	87.239	03/14/9/	TAYANAKA	Н	P97.0027

HILL STEADMAN & SIMPSON SEARS TOWER 85TH FLOOR CHICAGO IL 60606 MM31/0520 — EXAMINER CHRISTIANSON, K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2813

DATE MAILED: 05/20/99

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/818,239

Application No. Applicant(s)

Tayanaka

Office Action Summary Examiner

Keith Christianson

Group Art Unit 2813



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 8, 1999	•
X This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) <u>1-25</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 17-25	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claim(s) 1-16	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to	
	the priority documents have been
☐ received.	, hor)
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numreceived in this national stage application from the I	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	•
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

10

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/818,239

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant's amendment of the specification corrects the minor problems noted by the examiner in the first Office Action. Accordingly, the objection to the specification is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Applicant's amendment of claims 5 and 6 corrects the problems related to 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, and accordingly the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph are withdrawn for these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-4 and 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushita et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,811,348) for reasons for record given in paragraph #6 of the last Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed concerning these claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the mere repetition of elements is obvious (In re Harza, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)), and thus one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 08/818,239 Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

the art would anticipate the fabrication of multiple layers of porous semiconductor in order to facilitate the growth and removal of the semiconductor film from the substrate, with the separation taking place at the weakest location, i.e. the most porous layer.

Double Patenting

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,811,348 as detailed in paragraph 8 of the last Office action. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the mere repetition of elements is obvious (In re Harza, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)). In this instant application, the fabrication of multiple porous layers where the cleavage always takes place in the most porous layer followed by crystal growth is an obvious variation of the fabrication of a single porous layer followed by cleavage in the porous layer and subsequent crystal growth.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/818,239 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Christianson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4029. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Bowers, can be reached on (703) 308-2417. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

XC

KC

May 17, 1998

Charles Bowers

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800